# S20,001/DT-S89

DATE:

10 Jan 89

REPLY TO ATTN OF:

DT-S

SUBJECT:

SUN STREAK - Annual Report 1988 (U)

TO:

- (S/SK/WNINTEL) The mission of the SUN STREAK Prototype Operational Group (POG) remains dedicated to the exploitation of the Remote Viewing (RV) process to determine its potential and effectiveness as a Human Intelligence (HUMINT) collection tool. At a minimum, remote viewing is a discipline of last resort that can be utilized as a cuing mechanism in support of and in coordination with other US intelligence community agencies.
- (S/SK/WNINTEL) The POG is comprised of professional intelligence officers, a group of highly self-disciplined personnel dedicated to the development and exploitation of this unique methodology. The attached annual report reflects the scope of this extensive effort with its perceived highlights of accomplishments as well as its limitations and shortfalls.
- (S/SK/WNINTEL) The following reports reflect the results of activity pursued by the POG for CY 1988:
- (U) At TAB A is the Annual Production Report retrieved and sorted by remote viewer.
- At TAB B is the Annual Production Report retrieved and sorted by remote viewing methodology.
- At TAB C is the Annual Production Report retrieved and sorted by operational project.

Branch Chief,

Personnel losses during CY 1988 include

whose departure was projected for

SG1J

SG1J SG1J SG1J

SG1J

WARNING NOTICE: SENSITIVE INTELLIGENCE SOURCES AND METHODS INVOLVED

late December 1988; in fact,

(S/SK/WNINTEL)

Officer, and

HANDLE VIA SKEET CHANNELS ONLY SPECIAL ACCESS REQUIRED

> CLASSIFIED BY: DT, DIA DECLASSIFY BY: OADR

will leave the unit on 11

OPTIONAL FORM NO. 10 (REV. 1-80) GSA FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.6

Operations

☆ GPO: 1985 O - 461-275 (364)

SG1J SG1J January 1989. Incoming personnel were Based on current TDA allocations, one military SG1J 0-3 vacancy exists within the POG. Shortly following the departure of the TDA slot of the military chief, an position, was reallocated to another element within DIA. SG1I Total authorized strength to date consists of five military personnel and five civilian personnel. SG1I All remote viewers are cross-trained in (S/SK/WNINTEL) remote viewing methodologies that include Coordinate Remote Viewing (CRV) and Extended Remote Viewing (ERV). The practical application of reducing the training time from two years to six months in CRV and ERV was successfully implemented. A third SG1J methodology identified as Written Remote Viewing (WRV) surfaced as an initiative during the reporting period. It is anticipated SG1J that will complete ERV training in late January 1988; SG1J is pursuing training in WRV on her own initiative and under the tutelage of SG1J have expressed an SG1J interest in pursuing training in WRV. Training in WRV is being done on a voluntary basis. SG1J completed Stage IV of CRV on 10 January 1989. has attended monthly meetings of the American Society of American Dowsers (ASAD) in Baltimore on his own initiative and at his own expense. SG1J Both have been encouraged to attend career related courses at the Defense Intelligence College. than one hundred remote viewing sessions were conducted in a solo mode mainly against training targets. Sessions conducted in a solo mode are independently pursued by a remote viewer without the assistance or presence of an interviewer/facilitator. Remote viewers are at liberty to incorporate CRV, ERV, WRV methodologies in part or in toto while operating in a solo remote viewing mode. Solo remote viewing appears to be characteristically successful against highly refined targets of great specificity. Sessions are shorter and the conceptual accuracy of the results are highly encouraging. The remote viewer breaks quickly if he feels himself slipping into analytical overlay. (S/SK/WNINTEL) During the reporting period, the unit Automatic Data Processing (ADP) system was programmed to reflect the scope and value of all training and operational sessions from This information is now retrievable by date, remote viewer number, project number and methodology used. results of training sessions are entered on a daily basis. Results of operational sessions are entered upon receipt of

sessions were evaluated based on customer feedback, known ground

customer feedback. About 15 to 20 percent of operational

truth, or public disclosures of previously classified data.

(S/SK/WNINTEL) Except for the Branch Chief and personnel involved in training, all remote viewers operationally function and interact as remote viewers, as project officers, and as facilitators/interviewers. Personnel are expected to remote view on about a daily basis; they are not expected to conduct more than two sessions in one day. During the reporting period, seventy-four sessions were targeted against the US hostages in Lebanon. Customer feedback indicates the information provided was of value to the customer when it addressed the locations of the hostages, their groupings, and their physical status. Predictive estimates on the dates of release were in error. Other customer feedback revealed that on three occasions POG personnel were able to pinpoint the location of ships of interest In the third instance, a dollar-value assessment of significance was added to the feedback. The three reports of interest are encouraging in POG efforts to resolve the search problem, i.e., the ability to pinpoint an identifiable location of a person, place or thing in time and space. Continuing attempts to provide information of a predictive nature were generally in error notwithstanding some occasional success. To date, the results of our efforts dealing with future time are simply not marketable. POG customers are made aware of this fact at the outset of any operational project.

(S/SK/WNINTEL) Protocols explaining CRV methodology and ERV methodology are at TAB D and TAB E respectively. A protocol for the WRV methodology is being formulated for review. The POG is looking forward to greater successes from the challenges of 1989.

Chief, DT-S

5 Enclosures

TAB A - Annual Production RVr TAB B - Annual Production Mthd

TAB C - Annual Production Ops Pjt

TAB D - CRV

TAB E - ERV

SG1J

## PRODUCTION REPORT - BY VIEWER - CY'88

VIEWER	OPERATIONAL PROJECTS	UTILITY ASSESSMENTS	TRAINING*	TOTAL SESSIONS
003	37	10	14	61
011	51	12	24	87
018	50 -	20	c <del>y</del>	79
025	7	0	155*	162
032	12	O	92*	104
079	101	6	38	145
095	45	o	49	94
099	18	12	i	31
GENERAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	321	60	382	763

<sup>\*</sup> Includes lectures and drills.

## PRODUCTION REPORT BY METHODOLOGY - CY 88

VIEWER	CRV (a)	ERV (b)	WRV (c)	SOLO (d)
003	42	0	0	19
011	56	4	12	1. 53
018	46	1	erry etc.	30
025	110	19	33	o
032	104	0	O	0
079	22	5	106	12
095	61	1.4	0	19
099	16	O	0	1.55
	457	4.3	153	1.10

<sup>(</sup>a) Coordinate Remote Viewing.

<sup>(</sup>b) Extended Remote Viewing.

<sup>(</sup>c) Written Remote Viewing.

<sup>(</sup>d) Independent Remote Viewing.

Next 2 Page(s) In Document Exempt

for

#### COORDINATE REMOTE VIEWING

The Coordinate Remote Viewing (CRV) training procedure was developed by an SRI-International (SRI-I) subcontractor in the early 1980s to satisfy R&D demands on SRI-I to enhance the reliability (scientific replicability) of remote viewing (RV). The subcontractor's approach to improving the reliability of RV was to focus on the control of those factors that in his view tend to introduce "noise" into the RV product (imaginative, environmental, and interviewer overlays). The basic components of this training procedure consist of:

- (1) Repeated site-address (coordinate) presentation, with quick-reaction response by the remote viewer; coupled with a restrictive format for reporting perceived information (to minimize imaginative overlays).
- (2) The use of a specially-designed, acoustic-tiled, relatively featureless, homogeneously-colored "viewing chamber" (to minimize environmental overlays).
- (3) The adoption of a strictly-prescribed, limited interviewer patter (to minimize interviewer overlays).

The applied CRV training procedure requires that the trainee learn a progressive multi-stage acquisition process postulated to correspond to increased contact with the site. Initially the trainee is presented with RV sites requiring minimal detection and decoding skills ("stage one" sites). When the trainee demonstrates an ability to control the "signal line" and reliably "objectifies" accurate descriptions, the next "stage" of training is engaged. This procedure continues through "stage six" and usually takes a number of months to master. The CRV Stages are identified as follows:

Stage One - islands, mountains, deserts, etc.

Stage Two - sites of quality sensory value; sites which are uniquely describable through touch, taste, sound, color, or odor such as glaciers, volcanoes, industrial plants, etc.

Stage Three - sites possessing significant dimensional characteristics such as buildings, bridges, airfields, etc.

Appendix 2

Approved For Release 2000/08/07 CIN-RDP96-00788R001000380004-7
Stage Four a sites requiring qualitative mental pricepts
such as technical area, military feeling,
research, etc.

Stage Five - sites requiring the interrogation of qualitative mental percepts to produce refined information such as aircraft tracking radar, biomedical research facility, tank production plant, etc.

Stage Six - sites requiring direct, three-dimensional assessment of site elements to one another such as airplanes inside one of three camouflaged hangars or a military compound with a command building, barracks, motor pool, and underground weapons storage area. As Stage Six is engaged, an assessment of relative temporal and spatial dimensional elements along with further qualitative elements evolve into the consciousness of the traince.

There are three classes of CRV training. These classes deal with feedback requirements during the CRV session, control of interviewer patter, trainee skill development, and motivation. These three classes (A, B, and C) are discussed below but differ somewhat from the definition applied and published by SRI-I for Class A, B, and C CRV training.

CLASS C: When a trainee begins a "stage" of training the sessions are of the Class C type. During this phase, the traince must learn to differentiate between emerging site relevant perceptions and imaginative overlay. To assist the trainee in this learning, immediate feedback is provided during the session. The interviewer (monitor) is provided with a feedback package which may contain a map, photographs, and/or narrative description of the site. During Class C sessions the interviewer provides the trainee with immediate feedback for each element of data he provides, with the exception that negative feedback is not given. Should the trainee state an element of information that appears incorrect, the interviewer remains silent. Feedback, in order to prevent inadvertent cuing (interviewer overlay), is in the form of very specific statements made by the interviewer. These statements and their definitions are as follows:

Correct (C) - This indicates that the information is correct in context with the site location, but is not sufficient to end the session.

Approved For Release 2000/08/07 CIA-RDP96-00788R001000380004-7 the Frobably Correct (PC) CIA-RDP96-00788R001000380004-7 the interviewer, having limited information about the site, though he cannot be absolutely sure, believes that the information provided is correct.

Near (N) - This indicates that the information provided is not an element of the specific site, but is correct for the immediate surrounding area.

Can't Feedback (CFB) - This statement indicates that, due to limited information about the site, the interviewer cannot make a judgement as to the correctness of the data. It means neither correct nor incorrect.

Site (S) - This indicates the site has been correctly identified for the specific stage being trained (manmade structure for Stage One, bridge for Stage Three, etc.). "Site" indicates that the session is completed.

Once a trainee begins to demonstrate his ability CLASS B: to reliably distinguish imaginative overlay and report site relevant data elements, feedback is withdrawn. In Class B training sessions the interviewer knows what site he desires the trainee to describe but does not provide the trainee with any direct feedback during the course of the session. This process develops the trainee's ability to internalize his awareness of relevant (correct) versus extraneous (incorrect) cognitive structures (mental perceptions). During Class B sessions the interviewer (monitor) may direct the trainee to elaborate on specific elements of data provided, thereby guiding the trainee to describe specific areas of the site. The interviewer is only permitted to direct the trainee to elaborate on specific elements already reported by the trainee. The interviewer may not introduce new elements into the session (cue the trainee) in an attempt to encourage the trainee to properly describe the site. Class B sessions are especially helpful in developing refined skills in the trainee. For example, when the interviewer knows that a particular site area within a site may be of interest (i.e., a specific room in a building), he can guide the trainee's attention to that area by directing the trainee to elaborate on specific elements of data which the interviewer knows to pertain to the area of interest. "With practice in Class B, the trainee soon learns to control his own perceptual faculties and develops confidence in his ability.

community refers to as a "double blind" experiment. The purposes for Class A training and for R&D double blind experiments differ however. The R&D community uses double blind experimental protocols to test a variable under controlled conditions. Class A training is not a test for the trainee, but a process whereby the trainee learns to function with the interviewer in a team effort to acquire and describe information concerning a site on interest. Class A the interviewer is provided very little or no information concerning the site and the traince is provided no feedback during the session. The trainee is motivated to work with the interviewer in producing valid information about the site of interest. This motivational difference is critical in forcing the traince to use his RV ability to acquire and describe site dependent information as opposed to interviewer dependent (telepathic?) information. Working as a team in a Class A session, the interviewer (monitor) and trainee combine their aptitudes (the interviewer with his directive, analytic skill and the trainee with his exploratory, perceptual ability) to report information of interest about the designated site.

As a result of the technology transfer from the SRI-I subcontractor to this office the CRV training procedure is fully documented in booklet form. Copies of this booklet are maintained by this office and are available to those with a verified need-to-know. Of special note is the fact that this booklet is governed by corporate laws of propriety and as such may not be reproduced or disseminated without permission.

#### Approved For Release 2000/08/07 1001AGRDP96160788R001000380004-7

for

ABSTRACT REFERENTS DISCRIMINATION OF BINARY ALTERNATIVES

Remote Viewers have demonstrated little ability to discriminate alphanumeric information. Remote perception and description of geographic locations, buildings, and objects appears to be different than the remote perception of man generated symbolic data (letters and numbers). Abstract Referents Discrimination of Binary Alternatives (ARDBA) training has two objectives. first is to identify trainees who possess an innate ability to psychically discriminate between different alphanumerics and second to determine the feasibility of training this ability. The training/testing program has been designed so that training progresses through five training phases from simplistic exercises to the eventual use of abstract referents (i.e. geographic coordinates) to direct the trainees attention to the discrimination between binary alternatives at remote locations. Each one of these phases requires a different behavior on the part of the trainee and is conducted for different purposes with an overall goal in mind. Following is an overview of these ARDBA Training Phases:

#### PHASE 1

During Phase 1 the trainee is directed to use whatever psychic ability available to discriminate between binary alternatives by active selection within a closed target pool. The trainer then provides positive oral feedback when appropriate to reinforce the trainee's own visual field. Negative oral feedback is never provided.

The purpose of this phase of training/testing is threefold. The first purpose is to determine if a particular individual has any ability. The second purpose is to establish a data base on which to base further training/testing and the third purpose is to build self confidence on the part of the trainee through immediate positive feedback.

#### PHASE 2

If a trainee is able to complete Phase 1 (successfully discriminate between binary alternatives to a statistically significant level), Phase 2 is initiated. During Phase 2 the training environment is similar with the exception that feedback is reduced. The trainee in no longer provided with visual feedback from the target pool. The only feedback provided is given orally by the trainer.

Appendix 3

The overall purpose here is to develop an internalized feeling of confidence within the trainee of psychic impressions through the use of feedback withdrawal tactics. A data base of traince performance is also expanded during this period.

#### PHASE 3

During this phase of training the emphasis sheers away somewhat from discrimination of binary alternatives and begins to focus on the trainces ability to respond to abstract referents. In ARDBA Phase 3 the trainer selects a target from within the closed target pool and then directs the trainee to state what the selected target is (choose between binary alternatives). Positive oral feedback is provided when appropriate by the trainer.

The overall purpose of this phase is to begin to transfer a trainee's demonstrated ability outside the immediate environment and to prepare the trainee for the next phase.

#### PHASE 4

This phase establishes abstract referent cuing as the prime directive. The trainee is presented with a grid matrix consisting of six positions. Each position will has a "coordinate." The task for the trainee is to discriminate between binary alternatives at a given coordinate (abstract, referent cue) provided by the trainer. The trainer records the results but does not provide feedback to the trainee.

This phase serves to extinguish the trainee's dependence on the previous target pool as well as external feedback.

#### PHASE 5

Given that a trainee can demonstrate reliable performance through Phase 4, Phase 5 attempts to chain together six matrix "coordinates" into one six digit binary number. The trainer provides the trainee with "coordinates" as cuing and the trainee attempts to discriminate between binary alternatives, for each of six different abstract referents. Feedback is given only after the completion of six "coordinates."

This phase completes the training concept and demands the trainee accurately respond to a series of requirements prior to receiving feedback.

Approved For Release 2000/08/07 CM RDP96-00788R001000380004-7 at project is conducted. This involves the use of a six digit binary code which is scaled in a cavelope. The trained source then attempts to identify this code given appropriate abstract referents. To be effective, a source must be able to accurately discriminate between binary alternatives in a sequential chain given a complex abstract referent cuing system. The ultimate goal of this program might be to detect and describe cryptographic code at remote locations. This newly trained source ability will have to be integrated into conventional remote viewing techniques. A source will have to locate cryptographic systems through remote viewing and then apply his/her ability to discriminate binary alternatives in specific codes at the location.

3

for

OBJECT REMOTE VIEWING

MX

The purpose of Object Remote Viewing (ORV) is to give the remote viewer perceptual experience in an area unaddressed by other training. Basic training in remote viewing (RV) usually uses geographic locations as targets for the remote viewer. For the purposes of basic RV training such targets serve well to develop elementary viewer skills and establish some level of viewer self confidence as well as a degree of reliability. Basic RV training does not, however, place any emphasis on the accurate acquisition and description of fundamental structural elements or individual objects. Since such information is important in the practical exploitation of RV, training exercises in ORV are conducted. ORV exercises differ only in the context that the designated target to be described by the remote viewer is a concealed object as opposed to a geographic site. The procedures of basic RV training programs remain the same.

13

Maria Maria

Appendix 4

A STANKE STATE OF STATE

for

#### EXTENDED REMOTE VIEWING

The Extended Remote Viewing (ERV) training procedure draws on the expertise of over two decades of research by independent investigators and recognized academic institutions including the University of Virginia Medical Center, the Maimonides Medical Center, the Mind Science Foundation, the University of California at Davis, Texas Southern University of Houston, Mundelein College, Syracuse University and others. The ERV approach has as its goal the subjective temporal extension of subliminally brief psychic impressions. The trained ERV percipient is able to control, observe, and report perceptions which would otherwise be ignored or neglected fleeting images. This extension of the perceptual window is accomplished through the achievement of a discrete state of consciousness defined by identified state dependent behaviors. These behaviors are regarded as skills which the trainee must master. The basic components of the ERV training procedure involve the trainee in learning the following skills:

- Skill 1 Ability to physically relax.

  Training in progressive relaxation techniques, biofeedback, yoga, etc.
- Skill 2 Ability to reduce level of physical arousal.
  Training in biofeedback techniques, self-control exercises, autogenic training.
- Skill 3 Ability to attenuate sensory inputs.

  Training in sensory isolation, concentration exercises, and "centering devices"
- Skill 4 Ability to increase awareness of internal feelings and images.

  Training in dream recall, guided visual imagery exercises, subliminal recognition drills,

  Hemispheric Synchronization etc.
- Skill 5 Ability to engage "receptive mode/right hemispheric functioning."
  Hemispheric Synchronization training, biofeedback, mode recognition, drawing classes, etc.
- Skill 6 Ability to achieve an altered view of reality. Reading assignments, intellectual study, meditation and contemplation exercises, etc.

Appendix 1

## Approved For Release 2000/08/97 CIA RDR96-90788R001000380004-7 and

unconscious) on remote viewing (kV) task. Training in organizational management, counseling, personal reinforcement, motivation, etc.

Skill 8 - Ability to communicate RV perceptions.

Training in right hemispheric verbalization techniques, sketching techniques, practice in non-analytic reporting, etc.

Each one of these skills is trained over a period of several weeks. When the trainee demonstrates independent mastery of each skill, he then learns to combine the skills. His goal is to simultaneously exhibit all of the learned skills thereby achieving a specified discrete state of consciousness in which the trainee is able to RV. The behavioral psychologist would call this state dependent repertoire of behaviors a subpersonality, label it as "remote viewer" and include it along with other subpersonalities (parent, spouse, athlete, office supervisor, etc.) in the individuals overall identity. From this perspective, the trained ERVer is able to RV by simply internally identifying with the "remote viewer" as easily as one becomes a parent, spouse, or athlete. This feat is accomplished by willfully identifying with a role (a learned set of state dependent behaviors) in an appropriate (socially accepted) environment.

Once the trainee is able to "become a remote viewer" by engaging learned skills, he/she is challenged to perform under controlled conditions. This is done by presenting the trainee with progressively complex RV tasks coupled with a reinforcement strategy designed to develop self confidence and to internalize ego state stabilizing factors. Assessment of individual RV capabilities can begin during this phase of training. For just as there are parents, spouses, athletes, and teachers with different abilities, so too are there remote viewers possessing a wide range of abilities. The general target or site categories for these progressively complex RV tasks are outlined below:

Local Targets -

The ERV team (interviewer and trainee) are secluded within the RV room. An outbound "beacon" individual proceeds to a selected site unknown to the ERV team. The ERV team attempts to describe the "beacon's" location. After the training session the "beacon" takes the ERV team to the site to assess the accuracy of the training session.

similar manner with the exception that the selected target is not limited to the local area and is usually designated by geographic coordinate, photograph, or other identifying data. The trainee, of course, is not provided any information about the site and must by the very nature of the problem remote view it.

Application Targets -

At this point the trainee is introduced to RV problems which mimic actual operational potential. Training is conducted the same as with Global Targets but general descriptive data provided by the trainee is insufficient to satisfy training objectives. Specific, significant qualitative data which would be of exploitable value must be reported.

Feedback requirements during ERV training are similar to those outlined for CRV training as "Classes" of CRV training. The interviewer is able to vary the level of feedback depending on the trainee's ability and needs. The level of feedback is always based on the development of a reliable, qualified remote viewer and an effective ERV team. At times this may require that the interviewer know about the selected training site whereas during other training sessions the interviewer may know nothing about the site.